

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

MAR 2 1 2011

Floyd D. Ferrell

Rollu, MO 65401

RE: MUR 6322

Dear Mr. Ferrell:

On March 15, 2011, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated July 7, 2010, and on the basis of the information provided in your complaint and information provided by the respondents, the Commission dismissed this matter in an exercise of prosecutorial discretion and closed the file. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explain the Commission's findings, are enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel

BY: / Roy Q. Luckett

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Respondents: Tommy Sowers for Congress and
John P. Heisserer, in his official capacity as Treasurer

Tommy Sowers

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election
- 3 Commission ("Commission") by Floyd D. Ferrell, alleging possible violations of the
- 4 Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and the Commission's
- 5 regulations, by Tommy Sowers and Tommy Sowers for Congress and John P. Heisserer,
- 6 in his official capacity as treasurer. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

7 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. <u>Background</u>

- 9 Tommy Sowers was a Democratic candidate for Congress from Missouri's 8th
- District. On June 8, 2010, the Sowers campaign committee hosted a fundraiser in
- 11 Washington, D.C. Several notable Democratic politicians attended the event, along with
- 12 Jack Dorsey, the CEO of Square. Square is a software company founded in February
- 2009 by Jack Dorsey. See https://squaroup.com/about. The company manufactures
- small, cube-shaped credit card readers that plug into the headphone ports in cell phones.
- 15 Id. The devices allow merchants to accept payment for goods or services instantly over a
- cell phone network. See https://squareup.com/-about.²
- 17 The Committee's announcement publicizing the June 8 fundraiser contains the
- date, time, and location of the fundraiser, and lists Jack Dorsey as attending the event and

Mr. Sowers lost the general election.

For a visual demonstration of the Square device, see http://goo.gl/TgTp.

- as the founder of Twitter. See Complaint at Ex. 2, 3; see also
- 2 http://www.sowersforcongress.com/page/s/-square. Further, in the bottom right-hand
- 3 corner of the announcement, there is a picture of the Square payment processing device
- 4 with Square's name, along with the statement: "We're also launching Square in DC! The
- 5 new application by the founder of Twitter that allows credit card transactions from your
- 6 mobile phone." See Complaint at Ex. 2. Additionally, the invitation states that attendees
- 7 should "RSVP now & pay at the door w/ SQUARE." Id. Near the bottom, the invitation
- 8 gives attendees the ability to choose a cell phone operating system (Android or iPhone) if
- 9 the attendee would like a Square card reading device. *Id.*
- The complaint also includes a news article that features promotional material,
- allegedly distributed by the Committee, which contains a photo of the candidate, the
- 12 campaign logo, and the statement "The Tommy Sowers campaign is using Square and
- launching it in DC. What better way to unveil the future of grassroots fundraising than
- through a fundraiser for a true grassroots candidate. Square is the new application by the
- 15 founder of Twitter that allows credit card transactions from your mobile phone. Tuesday,
- 16 June 8 5:30 7:30 PM @ Local 16 1602 U St. NW. RSVP & for more details:
- 17 www.sqwersforcongress.com/square2." See Complaint at 2; Complaint Ex. 3.
- 18 Additionally, Jack Dorsey wrote about the fundraiser on his Twitter account. See
- http://goo.gl/AlkHu (posted June 8, 2010, 4:53 pm) (last visited December 7, 2010)
- 20 ("At #sqdc with @crazybob for @Sowers and @Square. Come by and say hi! Local
- 21 16.").
- 22 Square provided its mobile credit services to the Committee during the 2010
- election cycle. See Response at 2. To use the Square service, the merchant must first

1 download Square's free application to a cell phone or iPad. See https://squareup.com/getstarted. The merchant then attaches Square's credit card reader to a cell phone, and the 2 buyer swipes a credit card through the reader. After swiping the card, the buyer signs the 3 transaction receipt on the phone using his or her finger. See https://squareup.com/about. 4 Square distributes the readers for free and does not charge a monthly fee or require a 5 merchant account. See https://squareup.com/features. Instead, the merchant pays Square 6 a percentage of each transaction amount. Id. Merchants are not required to have the card 7 render to use Square's payment processing service because the merchent can manually 8 run the credit card information through Square's cell phone application, but Square 9 charges more for non-swiped transactions. Id. Although Square distributes the device for 10 11 free, at the time of the fundraiser, Square admittedly confronted a "big hardware shortage" and struggled to meet the demand for its readers. See Letter from Jack Dorsey, 12 The Home Stretch, SQUARE, INC. (June 18, 2010), http://goo.gl/eNkZM. Id. 13 The Committee maintains that it paid for all of the fundraiser's expenses. See 14 15 Response at 2. Respondents also assert that Square's only involvement was as a 16 commercial vendor to the Committee, and that Square did not "approve or comment on" 17 any Committee promotional material. Id. Further, even though Jack Dorsey was listed as attending the event, the response insists that he was involved in the event as a personal 18 supporter, and he appeared in his personal capacity. Id. 19 The response also asserts that the Committee referenced Square's name with 20 respect to Square's status as a commercial vendor to the Committee and to draw attention 21 to an innovative technology that the Committee uses for fundraising operations, and not 22 to encourage contributions. See Response at 2-3. According to the Committee, using 23

11

12

13

14

18

20

21

22

MUR 6322
Factual and Legal Analysis
Sowers for Congress

- 1 Square's name in an advertisement is akin to the Committee revealing that it accepts
- 2 contributions via MasterCard, Visa, or ActBlue, because Square is merely a "conduit" for
- 3 contributions. Id. Finally, the response argues that even if the use of Square was a
- 4 violation of the Act, it was a de minimis violation because the event raised only \$5,574 in
- 5 contributions. See Response at 4. Respondents also state the Committee paid Square the
- full market value for use of its services. *Id.* at 3. The Committee reported contributions
- 7 totaling \$2,950 on June 8, 2010, the day of the fundraiser, and \$10,000 on June 9, 2010,
- 8 the day after the fundraiser. It is possible that the Committee received contributions from
- 9 sources other than the June 8 fundraiser on those days.

B. Analysis

1. Corporate Activity

The complaint alleges that: (1) the references to Square and the Square payment processing device in the Committee's fundraiser announcement; (2) a speech made by

Dorsey at the fundraiser in which Dorsey allegedly endorsed Tommy Sowers and the

15 Committee's use of Square; (3) and the provision of Square card readers to the

16 Committee to distribute at the fundraiser, constitute impermissible uses of corporate

17 resources to engage in fundraising activities. The Complaint also alleges that Square

gave, and the Committee accepted, prohibited contributions when Square farnished the

19 Committee with the card reader devices.

Under the Act and Commission regulations, corporations are prohibited from making a contribution to a candidate's committee in connection with a Federal election, and candidates are prohibited from accepting or receiving corporate contributions. See

- 1 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(b)(1). A "contribution" includes "any gift,
- 2 subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any
- person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C.
- 4 § 431(8)(A)(i) and 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a); see also 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(2) and 11 C.F.R.
- 5 § 114.2(b)(1). "Anything of value" includes all in-kind contributions, including the
- 6 provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and
- 7 normal charge. See 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1). Because the Act and Commission
- 8 regulations prohibit corporations from contributing anything of value to committees, or
- 9 using their resources to facilitate contributions to committees, a donation by a corporation
- of its trademark to a committee (for example, to indicate the corporation's support for a
- candidate) would constitute an impermissible corporate contribution. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a)
- and 11 C.F.R. 114.2(f). The Commission has previously considered corporate names and
- trademarks to be things of value. See MUR 6110 (Obama Victory Fund) Senate Realty
- 14 Corporation Factual and Legal Analysis; MUR 5578 (Wetterling for Congress) First
- 15 General Counsel's Report.
- 16 Here, the available information indicates that the fundraiser announcement
- 17 featured a picture and description of the Square card reader, and it notified viewers that
- the fundraiser was serving as the "lannch" of Square in Washington, D.C. The
- 19 announcement also promised contributors their own Square device, even though the
- 20 Square reader was difficult to obtain at the time of the fundraiser. Further, Square's role
- at the event appears to have been more than a mere portal for contributions, like
- 22 MasterCard or Visa, given that the devices were distributed to the fundraiser attendees to
- 23 keep and use apart from contributing to the Committee, the event appears to have been a

MUR 6322 Factual and Legal Analysis Sowers for Congress

- "launch" event for Square, and Dorsey's Twitter post can be read to suggest he was
- 2 appearing both as an individual and as a corporate representative. However, the
- 3 fundraiser apparently raised only \$5,574, and Square offers the devices free to the public,
- 4 thus making it difficult to assess their value. Under these circumstances, further use of
- 5 the Commission's resources for an investigation is not warranted. Accordingly, the
- 6 Commission has determined to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the
- 7 allegations that Tommy Sowers for Congress and John P. Heisserer, in his official
- 8 capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(f) in connection
- 9 with the acceptance of corporately-facilitated contributions, and violated 2 U.S.C. §
- 10 441b(a) in connection with the acceptance of the Square card reader devices. See
- 11 Heckler v. Chaney 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985).

2. Personal Use

- Finally, the complaint alleges that if the Committee paid for the costs of the
- 14 fundraiser, then it is "certain that at least part of the funds were put to personal use." See
- 15 Complaint at 4. According to the complaint, the fundraiser benefited Square, which
- 16 ultimately benefits Jack Dorsey and the other owners and investors of Square, and
- therefore is an impermissible use of Committee contributions because the costs of this
- 18 "launch party" would exist irrespective of the candidate's campaign. Id. In response, the
- 19 Committee states that it paid for all expenses related to the fundraiser, and the costs of the
- 20 fundraiser do not constitute personal use because neither Tommy Sowers nor any
- 21 member of his family own stock in or are in any way financially connected to Square.
- 22 See Response at 2.

Campaign contributions accepted by a candidate may not be converted to personal 1 2 use by any person. 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 113.2(e); see also 2 U.S.C. § 439a(a). "Personal use" is defined as "any use of funds in a campaign account of a 3 4 present or former candidate to fulfill a commitment, obligation or expense of any person that would exist irrespective of the candidate's campaign or duties as a Federal 5 officeholder." See 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g); see also 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b)(2); 2 U.S.C. 6 7 § 431(11) (defining "nerson" under the Act); Explanation and Justification, Expenditures: Reports by Political Committees; Personal Use of Campaign Funds, 60 Fed. Reg. 7862 8 (February 9, 1995) ("If campaign funds are used for a financial obligation that is caused 9 by campaign activity or the activities of an officeholder, that use is not personal use.") 10 11 Commission regulations list a number of purposes that would constitute personal use per 12 se. 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(1)(i). Where a specific use is not listed as personal use, the Commission makes a determination, on a case-by-case basis, whether an expense would 13 14 fall within the definition for personal use. 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(1)(ii). The Commission has long recognized that if a candidate "can reasonably show that the expenses at issue 15 16 resulted from campaign or officeholder activities, the Commission will not consider the 17 use to be personel use." See 60 Fed. Reg. at 7867. In gnevious matters, funds were considered converted by individuals to personal use when they were used to pay for 18 19 personal expenses, such as Broadway show and football tickets, haircuts, credit card bills. and personal trainer payments. See, e.g., MUR 5962 (Istook for Congress) Conciliation 20 121 Agreement; MUR 5895 (Meeks for Congress) Conciliation Agreement. 22 Here, there is no information indicating that campaign funds were put to personal 23 use. The complaint argues that because the fundraiser was also styled as a launch for

- 1 Square, the Committee used campaign contributions to pay for launch expenses that
- 2 would have existed irrespective of the campaign. However, the Commission gives
- candidates wide discretion over the use of campaign funds. See 60 Fed. Reg. at 7867.
- 4 The Committee hosted a fundraiser for Tommy Sowers' campaign, and has reasonably
- shown that the expenses for this fundraiser would not have existed irrespective of the
- 6 campaign. Therefore, because no campaign contributions appear to have been converted
- 7 to personal use, the Commission has determined to find no reason to believe that Tommy
- 8 Sowers for Congress and John P. Heisserer, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated
- 9 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b). The Commission has also determined to find no reason to believe
- that Tommy Sowers violated the Act.

7

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Respondent: Square, Inc. MUR: 6322

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election
- 3 Commission ("Commission") by Floyd D. Ferrell, alleging possible violations of the
- 4 Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and the Commission's
- 5 regulations, by Square, Inc. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Background

- 8 Tommy Sowers was a Democratic candidate for Congress from Missouri's 8th
- 9 District. On June 8, 2010, the Sowers campaign committee hosted a fundraiser in
- Washington, D.C. Several notable Democratic politicians attended the event, along with
- Jack Dorsey, the CEO of Square. Square is a software company founded in February
- 12 2009 by Jack Dorsey. See https://squareup.com/about. The company manufactures
- small, cube-shaped credit card readers that plug into the headphone ports in cell phones.
- 14 Id. The devices allow merchants to accept payment for goods or services instantly over a
- cell phone network. See https://squareup.com/-about.
- The Committee's announcement publicizing the June 8 fundraiser contains the
- date, time, and location of the fundraiser, and lists Jack Dorsey as attending the event and
- as the founder of Twitter. See Complaint at Ex. 2, 3; see also
- 19 http://www.sowersforcongress.com/page/s/-square. Further, in the bottom right-hand
- 20 corner of the announcement, there is a picture of the Square payment processing device

Mr. Sowers lost the general election.

16.").

MUR 6322
Factual and Legal Analysis
Square, Inc.

with Square's name, along with the statement: "We're also launching Square in DC! The
new application by the founder of Twitter that allows credit card transactions from your

mobile phone." See Complaint at Ex. 2. Additionally, the invitation states that attendees

4 should "RSVP now & pay at the door w/ SQUARE." Id. Near the bottom, the invitation

gives attendees the ability to choose a cell phone operating system (Android or iPhone) if

6 the attendee would like a Square card reading device. Id.

The complaint also includes a news article that features promotional material, allegedly distributed by the Committee, which contains a photo of the candidate, the campaign logo, and the statement "The Tommy Sowers campaign is using Square and launching it in DC. What better way to unveil the future of grassroots fundraising than through a fundraiser for a true grassroots candidate. Square is the new application by the founder of Twitter that allows credit card transactions from your mobile phone. Tuesday, June 8 5:30 - 7:30 PM @ Local 16 1602 U St. NW. RSVP & for more details: www.sowersforcongress.com/square2." See Complaint at 2; Complaint Ex. 3. Additionally, Jack Dorsey wrote about the fundraiser on his Twitter account. See http://goo.gl/AlkHu (posted June 8, 2019, 4:53 PM) (last visited December 7, 2010)

Square provided its mobile credit services to the Committee during the 2010 election cycle. See Response at 2. To use the Square service, the merchant must first download Square's free application to a cell phone or iPad. See https://squareup.com/get-started. The merchant then attaches Square's credit card reader to a cell phone, and the buyer swipes a credit card through the reader. After swiping the card, the buyer signs the

("At #sqdc with @orazybeb for @Sowers and @Square. Come by and say hi! Local

18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6322 Factual and Legal Analysis Square, Inc.

- transaction receipt on the phone using his or her finger. See https://squareup.com/about.
- 2 Square distributes the readers for free and does not charge a monthly fee or require a
- 3 merchant account. See https://squareup.com/features. Instead, the merchant pays Square
- 4 a percentage of each transaction amount. Id. Merchants are not required to have the card
- 5 reader to use Square's payment processing service because the merchant can manually
- 6 run the credit card information through Square's cell phone application, but Square
- 7 charges more for non-swiped transactions. Id. Although Square distributes the device for
- 8 free, at the time of the fundraiser Square admittedly confronted a "big hardware shortage"
- and struggled to meet the demand for its readers. See Letter from Jack Dorsey, The
- 10 Home Stretch, SQUARE, INC. (June 18, 2010), http://goo.gl/eNkZM. Id.

In response, Square maintains that the Committee paid for all of the fundraiser's
expenses. See Response at 2. Respondent also asserts that its only involvement was as a
commercial vendor to the Committee, and that Square did not "approve or comment on"

any Committee promotional material. *Id.* Further, even though Jack Dorsey was listed as

attending the event, the response insists that he was involved in the event as a personal

supporter, and he appeared in his personal capacity. Id.

The response also asserts that the Committee referenced Square's name with respect to Square's status as a commercial vendor to the Committee and to draw attention to an innovative technology that the Committee uses for fundraising operations, and not to encourage contributions. See Response at 2-3. Respondent argues that using its name in an advertisement is akin to the Committee revealing that it accepts contributions via MasterCard, Visa, or ActBlue, because Square is merely a "conduit" for contributions.

Id. Finally, the response argues that even if the use of Square was a violation of the Act,

14

18

MUR 6322 Factual and Legal Analysis Square, Inc.

- it was a de minimis violation because the event raised only \$5,574 in contributions. See
- 2 Response at 4. Respondent also states the Committee paid Square the full market value
- for use of its services. Id. at 3. The Committee reported contributions totaling \$2,950 on
- 4 June 8, 2010, the day of the fundraiser, and \$10,000 on June 9, 2010, the day after the
- 5 fundraiser. It is possible that the Committee received contributions from sources other
- 6 than the June 8 fundraiser on those days.

B. Analysis

The complaint alleges that: (1) the references to Square and the Square payment

9 processing device in the Committee's fundraiser announcement; (2) a speech made by

10 Dorsey at the fundraiser in which Dorsey allegedly endorsed Tommy Sowers and the

11 Committee's use of Square; (3) and the provision of Square card readers to the

12 Committee to distribute at the fundraiser, constitute impermissible uses of corporate

resources to engage in fundraising activities. The Complaint also alleges that Square

gave, and the Committee accepted, prohibited contributions when Square furnished the

15 Committee with the card reader devices.

16 Under the Act and Commission regulations, corporations are prohibited from

17 making a contribution to a candidate's committee in connection with a Federal election,

and candidates are prohibited from accepting or receiving corporate contributions. See

19 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(b)(1). A "contribution" includes "any gift,

20 subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any

person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C.

22 § 431(8)(A)(i) and 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a); see also 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(2) and 11 C.F.R.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6322 Factual and Legal Analysis Square, Inc.

1 § 114.2(b)(1). "Anything of value" includes all in-kind contributions, including the

2 provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and

3 normal charge. See 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1). Because the Act and Commission

4 regulations prohibit corporations from contributing anything of value to committees, or

5 using their resources to facilitate contributions to committees, a donation by a corporation

of its trademark to a committee (for example, to indicate the corporation's support for a

7 candidata) would constitute an impermissible carporate contribution. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a)

8 and 11 C.F.R. 114.2(f). The Commission has previously considered corporate names and

9 trademarks to be things of value. See MUR 6110 (Obama Victory Fund) Senate Realty

10 Corporation Factual and Legal Analysis; MUR 5578 (Wetterling for Congress) First

General Counsel's Report.

Here, the available information indicates that the fundraiser announcement featured a picture and description of the Square card reader, and it notified viewers that the fundraiser was serving as the "launch" of Square in Washington, D.C. The announcement also promised contributors their own Square device, even though the Square reader was difficult to obtain at the time of the fundraiser. Further, Square's role at the event appears to have been more than a mere portal for contributions, like MastarCard or Visa, given that the devices were distributed to the fundraiser attendees to keep and use apart from contributing to the Committee, the event appears to have been a "launch" event for Square, and Dorsey's Twitter post can be read to suggest he was appearing both as an individual and as a corporate representative. However, the fundraiser apparently raised only \$5,574, and Square offers the devices free to the public, thus making it difficult to assess their value. Under these circumstances, further use of

MUR 6322 Factual and Legal Analysis Square, Inc.

- the Commission's resources for an investigation is not warranted. Accordingly, the
- 2 Commission has determined to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the
- allegations that Square, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(f) by
- 4 facilitating the making of contributions, and violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) in connection
- 5 with the provision of Square card reader devices to Tommy Sowers for Congress. See
- 6 Heckler v. Chaney 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985).